

REMARKS

The claims have been amended to more clearly define the invention as disclosed in the written description. In particular, claims 5 and 8 have been amended to include the limitations of claim 1. In addition, claim 5 has been amended to further include the limitations of claim 4. Further, claim 2 has been cancelled, while claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of cancelled claim 2. Finally, claim 10 has been cancelled, while claim 9 has been amended to include the limitations of cancelled claim 10.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 3, 4 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,706,352 to Engebretson et al. The Examiner has further rejected claims 1, 3 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,660,122 to Evans. Applicants acknowledge that the Examiner has found claims 2, 5-8 and 10 allowable over the prior art of record.

In view of the above changes, Applicants believe that the Examiner's 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejections have been overcome.

Applicants believe that this application, containing claims 1 and 3-9, is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

by /Edward W. Goodman/
Edward W. Goodman, Reg. 28,613
Attorney
Tel.: 914-333-9611